

I would like to draw the attention of the Review Team to the following article, which I hereby attach:

Ungar,S. and Bray,D. (2005) Silencing science: partisanship and the career of a publication disputing the dangers of secondhand smoke **Public Understanding of Science** 14, 5-23 [24 Feb 10]

It is the report of a careful investigation into the dynamics between scientific evidence, the ethics of science, peer-review publishing and policy advocacy for the case of a paper published in 2003 which challenged the orthodoxy that passive smoking is injurious to health. Although not directly related to the present issues under investigation, the wider context it illuminates is highly relevant to your terms of reference and I believe the Review Team would be well-informed were they to read it.

Yours sincerely,

Professor Mike Hulme